

# THE BAILEY CHAIN AND MOCK THETA FUNCTIONS

JEREMY LOVEJOY AND ROBERT OSBURN

ABSTRACT. We use a change of base in Bailey pairs due to Bressoud, Ismail and Stanton to explicitly construct families of  $q$ -hypergeometric multisums which are mock theta functions (in the modern sense). We also prove identities involving some of these multisums and certain classical mock theta functions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In his plenary address at the Millennial Conference on Number Theory on May 22, 2000, George Andrews challenged mathematicians in the 21st century to elucidate the overlap between classes of  $q$ -series and modular forms. This challenge has its origin in Ramanujan's last letter to G. H. Hardy on January 12, 1920. In this letter, he introduces 17 “mock theta functions” such as

$$\mathcal{F}_1(q) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q^n)_n}. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, we have used the standard  $q$ -hypergeometric notation,

$$(a)_n = (a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1}).$$

Between the time of Ramanujan's death in 1920 and the early part of the 21st century, approximately 35 other  $q$ -series were studied and deemed mock theta functions. Some were introduced by Watson [30], some were found in Ramanujan's lost notebook and studied by Andrews, Choi, and Hickerson [4, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16], and others were produced by Berndt, Chan, Gordon and McIntosh using intuition from  $q$ -series [7, 20, 24]. For a summary of this classical work, see [21] or [22].

Thanks to work of Zwegers and Bringmann and Ono, we now know that each of Ramanujan's original 17 (and the subsequent) examples of mock theta functions is the holomorphic part of a weight  $1/2$  harmonic weak Maass form with a weight  $3/2$  unary theta function as its “shadow”. Following Zagier, the holomorphic part of any weight  $k$  harmonic weak Maass form is called a mock modular form of weight  $k$ . If  $k = 1/2$ , then it is called a mock theta function. For more on these functions, their remarkable history and modern developments, see [26] and [31].

Returning to Andrews' challenge, a natural question is whether or not there exist other examples of  $q$ -hypergeometric series which are mock theta functions (in the modern sense). Several authors have recently addressed this question, constructing two-variable  $q$ -series which are essentially “mock Jacobi forms” and which then specialize at torsion points to mock theta

---

*Date:* January 30, 2012.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 33D15; Secondary: 05A30, 11F03, 11F37.

functions. See [1, 9, 10, 11, 23, 31], for example. In this paper we look at  $q$ -hypergeometric multisums constructed using the Bailey chain and find infinite families of such multisums which are mock theta functions.

We briefly review Bailey pairs and the Bailey chain. In the 1940's and 50's, Bailey and Slater made extensive use of the the fact that if  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is a pair of sequences satisfying

$$\beta_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{(q)_{n-k}(aq)_{n+k}}, \quad (1.2)$$

then subject to convergence conditions we have the identity

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (b)_n (c)_n (aq/bc)^n \beta_n = \frac{(aq/b)_\infty (aq/c)_\infty}{(aq)_\infty (aq/bc)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(b)_n (c)_n (aq/bc)^n}{(aq/b)_n (aq/c)_n} \alpha_n, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$(a)_\infty = (a; q)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i).$$

For example, Slater [27] collected a long list of pairs satisfying (1.2) and a corresponding compendium [28] of 130 identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type. Such identities are best exemplified by the Rogers-Ramanujan identities themselves, which state that for  $s = 0$  or  $1$  we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2+sn}}{(q)_n} = \frac{1}{(q^{1+s}; q^5)_\infty (q^{4-s}; q^5)_\infty}. \quad (1.4)$$

In other words, we have a  $q$ -hypergeometric series expressed as a modular function.

In the 1980's Andrews observed that Bailey's work actually leads to a mechanism for producing new pairs satisfying (1.2) from known ones [2, 3]. He called a pair of sequences  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n)_{n \geq 0}$  satisfying (1.2) a Bailey pair relative to  $a$  and showed that if  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$  is such a sequence, then so is  $(\alpha'_n, \beta'_n)$ , where

$$\alpha'_n = \frac{(b)_n (c)_n (aq/bc)^n}{(aq/b)_n (aq/c)_n} \alpha_n \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\beta'_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(b)_k (c)_k (aq/bc)_{n-k} (aq/bc)^k}{(aq/b)_n (aq/c)_n (q)_{n-k}} \beta_k. \quad (1.6)$$

Iterating (1.5) and (1.6) leads to a sequence of Bailey pairs, called the Bailey chain.

To give an illustration, we follow Chapter 3 of [3]. First, take the so-called unit Bailey pair relative to  $a$ ,

$$\alpha_n = \frac{(a)_n (1 - aq^{2n}) (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}}{(q)_n (1 - a)} \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$\beta_n = \chi(n = 0). \quad (1.8)$$

Then, setting  $a = 1$  and iterating along the Bailey chain with  $b, c \rightarrow \infty$  at each step, we arrive at the following generalization of the  $s = 0$  case of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities (1.4):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_{k-1} \geq n_{k-2} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_{k-1}^2 + n_{k-2}^2 + \dots + n_1^2}}{(q)_{n_{k-1} - n_{k-2}} \cdots (q)_{n_2 - n_1} (q)_{n_1}} &= \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{kn^2 + \binom{n+1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{(q^k; q^{2k+1})_\infty (q^{k+1}; q^{2k+1})_\infty (q^{2k+1}; q^{2k+1})_\infty}{(q)_\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

the last equality following from the triple product identity,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n q^{n^2} = (-zq; q^2)_\infty (-q/z; q^2)_\infty (q^2; q^2)_\infty.$$

The point is that iteration along the Bailey chain preserves the number-theoretic structure on the  $\alpha$ -side, and now instead of each Bailey pair giving rise to a single modular  $q$ -hypergeometric series, each pair leads to a family of modular  $q$ -hypergeometric multisums. As a bonus, these multisums naturally occur in many areas of mathematics. For references to the role of such series in combinatorics, statistical mechanics, Lie algebras, and group theory, see [17]. For novel interactions with knot theory, see [5] and [18].

Now consider an example involving mock theta functions. Take the Bailey pair

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \frac{4(-1)^n q^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{(1+q^n)}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_n = \frac{1}{(-q)_n^2},$$

which follows directly upon substituting (1.7) and (1.8) into (1.5) and (1.6) with  $-a = b = c = -1$ . Iteration along the Bailey chain with  $b, c \rightarrow \infty$  at each step gives

$$\sum_{n_k \geq n_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_k^2 + n_{k-1}^2 + \dots + n_1^2}}{(q)_{n_k - n_{k-1}} \cdots (q)_{n_2 - n_1} (-q)_{n_1}^2} = \frac{2}{(q)_\infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^{kn^2 + \binom{n+1}{2}} (-1)^n}{(1+q^n)}. \quad (1.9)$$

The case  $k = 1$  of (1.9) is Watson's expression for Ramanujan's third order mock theta function  $f(q)$  as an Appell-Lerch sum [30]. For general  $k$  the left-hand side may be interpreted as a generating function for partitions weighted according to certain ranks [19]. However, the sums on the right-hand side of (1.9) are known as "higher level" Appell functions and in general give rise not to mock but to *mixed* mock modular forms, that is, sums of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i g_i$ , where  $f_i$  is modular and  $g_i$  is mock modular [33, 34]. In other words, it appears that standard applications of the Bailey chain preserve the space of mixed mock modular forms, but typically fail to produce families of mock theta functions.

We shall observe that one way to preserve the mock modularity in  $q$ -hypergeometric multisums constructed using the Bailey machinery is to appeal to change of base formulas like those in [6, 8, 29]. We make use of the following change of base due to Bressoud, Ismail, and Stanton:

**Lemma 1.1.** [8, Theorem 2.5,  $a = q$  and  $B \rightarrow \infty$ ] *If  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$  is a Bailey pair relative to  $q$ , so is  $(\alpha'_n, \beta'_n)$  where*

$$\alpha'_n = \frac{(1+q)}{(1+q^{2n+1})} q^n \alpha_n(q^2) \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\beta'_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-q)_{2k} q^k}{(q^2; q^2)_{n-k}} \beta_k(q^2). \quad (1.11)$$

We present four examples in our main result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Write*

$$B_k(n_k, n_{k-1}, \dots, n_1; q) := q^{\binom{n_k-1}{2} + n_{k-2} + 2n_{k-3} + \dots + 2^{k-3}n_1} (-1)^{n_1} \\ \times \frac{(-q)_{n_{k-1}} (-q)_{2n_{k-2}} (-q^2; q^2)_{2n_{k-3}} \cdots (-q^{2^{k-3}}; q^{2^{k-3}})_{2n_1}}{(q)_{n_k - n_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{n_{k-1} - n_{k-2}} \cdots (q^{2^{k-2}}; q^{2^{k-2}})_{n_2 - n_1} (q^{2^{k-1}}; q^{2^{k-1}})_{n_1}}.$$

For  $k \geq 3$  the following are mock theta functions:

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{(k)}(q) := \sum_{n_k \geq n_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 0} q^{\binom{n_k+1}{2}} B_k(n_k, \dots, n_1; q), \quad (1.12)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2^{(k)}(q) := \sum_{n_k \geq n_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_k^2 + n_k}}{(-q)_{n_k}} B_k(n_k, \dots, n_1; q), \quad (1.13)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_3^{(k)}(q) := \sum_{n_k \geq n_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_k^2 + 2n_k} (-1)^{n_k} (q; q^2)_{n_k}}{(-q^2; q^2)_{n_k}} B_k(n_k, \dots, n_1; q^2), \quad (1.14)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_4^{(k)}(q) := \sum_{n_k \geq n_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_k} (-1)^{n_k} (q; q^2)_{n_k}}{(-q)_{n_k}} B_k(n_k, \dots, n_1; q). \quad (1.15)$$

In order to satisfy the claim that the above series are mock theta functions, we must show that they can be completed to weight  $1/2$  harmonic weak Maass forms by adding a certain type of non-holomorphic period integral [26, 31]. Such completions are explicit in Chapter 1 of Zwegers' thesis [32] for the Appell-Lerch sums  $m(x, q, z)$ , where

$$m(x, q, z) := \frac{1}{j(z, q)} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} z^r}{1 - q^{r-1} x z}.$$

Here  $x, z \in \mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  with neither  $z$  nor  $xz$  an integral power of  $q$ , and

$$j(x, q) := (x)_\infty (q/x)_\infty (q)_\infty.$$

We note that although Zwegers' results are expressed in terms of the mock Jacobi form  $\mu$ , one can easily translate  $\mu$  into  $m(x, q, z)$  and vice versa. Hence, expressing the multisums  $\mathcal{R}_i^{(k)}(q)$  as Appell-Lerch sums  $m(x, q, z)$  (up to the addition of a weakly holomorphic modular form) implies Theorem 1.2.

To do this, we first use the Bailey machinery to express the multisums in terms of Hecke-type double sums  $f_{a,b,c}(x, y, q)$ , where

$$f_{a,b,c}(x, y, q) := \sum_{\text{sg}(r)=\text{sg}(s)} \text{sg}(r)(-1)^{r+s} x^r y^s q^{a\binom{r}{2}+brs+c\binom{s}{2}}. \quad (1.16)$$

Here  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\text{sg}(r) := 1$  for  $r \geq 0$  and  $\text{sg}(r) := -1$  for  $r < 0$ . One could then follow Chapter 2 of [32] to obtain the completions, but instead we apply recent results of Hickerson and Mortenson [22] to express the Hecke-type double sums in terms of  $m(x, q, z)$  (see equations (3.4), (3.8), (3.9), (3.11), and (3.13)). Some background material on Hecke-type double sums and Appell-Lerch series is collected in Section 2, and Theorem 1.2 is established in Section 3.

In Section 4, we prove identities between some of the multisums in Theorem 1.2 and some of the classical  $q$ -hypergeometric mock theta functions. Recall (1.1) as well as the mock theta functions  $\nu(q)$ ,  $\phi(q)$ , and  $\mu(q)$  (historically referred to as having “orders” 7, 3, 10, and 2, respectively):

$$\nu(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(-q; q^2)_{n+1}},$$

$$\phi(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{(q; q^2)_{n+1}},$$

and

$$\mu(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n^2} (q; q^2)_n}{(-q^2; q^2)_n^2}.$$

**Corollary 1.3.** *We have the following identities.*

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{(3)}(q) = \nu(-q), \quad (1.17)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{(4)}(q) = -\phi(q^4) + M_1(q), \quad (1.18)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2^{(3)}(q) = q^{-1} \mathcal{F}_1(q^4) + M_2(q), \quad (1.19)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_4^{(k)}(q) = q^{-2k-3(2^{k-2}+1)} \mu(q^{2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+1)}) + M_3^{(k)}(q), \quad (1.20)$$

where  $M_1(q)$ ,  $M_2(q)$ , and  $M_3^{(k)}(q)$  are (explicitly computable) weakly holomorphic modular forms.

## 2. HECKE-TYPE DOUBLE SUMS AND APPELL-LERCH SERIES

We recall some facts from [22]. The most important of these is a result which allows us to convert from the Hecke-type double sums (1.16) to Appell-Lerch series. Define

$$g_{a,b,c}(x, y, q, z_1, z_0) := \sum_{t=0}^{a-1} (-y)^t q^{c\binom{t}{2}} j(q^{bt}x, q^a) m \left( -q^{a\binom{b+1}{2}-c\binom{a+1}{2}-t(b^2-ac)} \frac{(-y)^a}{(-x)^b}, q^{a(b^2-ac)}, z_0 \right) \\ + \sum_{t=0}^{c-1} (-x)^t q^{a\binom{t}{2}} j(q^{bt}y, q^c) m \left( -q^{c\binom{b+1}{2}-a\binom{c+1}{2}-t(b^2-ac)} \frac{(-x)^c}{(-y)^b}, q^{c(b^2-ac)}, z_1 \right) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\theta_{n,p}(x, y, q) := \frac{1}{\bar{J}_{0,np(2n+p)}} \sum_{r^*=0}^{p-1} \sum_{s^*=0}^{p-1} q^{n(r-(n-1)/2)+(n+p)(r-(n-1)/2)(s+(n+1)/2)+n(s+(n+1)/2)} \\ \times \frac{(-x)^{r-(n-1)/2} (-y)^{s+(n+1)/2} J_{p^2(2n+p)}^3 j(-q^{np(s-r)} x^n / y^n, q^{np^2}) j(q^{p(2n+p)(r+s)+p(n+p)} x^p y^p, q^{p^2(2n+p)})}{j(q^{p(2n+p)r+p(n+p)/2} (-y)^{n+p} / (-x)^n, q^{p^2(2n+p)}) j(q^{p(2n+p)s+p(n+p)/2} (-x)^{n+p} / (-y)^n, q^{p^2(2n+p)})},$$

where  $r := r^* + \{(n-1)/2\}$  and  $s := s^* + \{(n-1)/2\}$  with  $0 \leq \{\alpha\} < 1$  denoting the fractional part of  $\alpha$ . Also,  $J_m := J_{m,3m}$  with  $J_{a,m} := j(q^a, q^m)$ , and  $\bar{J}_{a,m} := j(-q^a, q^m)$ .

Following [22], we use the term ‘‘generic’’ to mean that the parameters do not cause poles in the Appell-Lerch sums or in the quotients of theta functions.

**Theorem 2.1.** [22, Theorem 1.6] *Let  $n$  and  $p$  be positive integers with  $(n, p) = 1$ . For generic  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^*$*

$$f_{n,n+p,n}(x, y, q) = g_{n,n+p,n}(x, y, q, -1, -1) + \theta_{n,p}(x, y, q).$$

We shall also require certain facts about  $j(x, q)$ ,  $m(x, q, z)$ , and  $f_{a,b,c}(x, y, q)$ , which we collect here. First, from the definition of  $j(x, q)$ , we have

$$j(q^n x, q) = (-1)^n q^{-\binom{n}{2}} x^{-n} j(x, q) \quad (2.2)$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and

$$j(x, q) = j(q/x, q) = -x j(x^{-1}, q). \quad (2.3)$$

Next, a relevant property of the sum  $m(x, q, z)$  is given in the following (see Corollary 2.10 in [25]).

**Proposition 2.2.** *For generic  $x, z \in \mathbb{C}^*$*

$$m(x, q, z) = m(-qx^2, q^4, -1) - q^{-1} x m(-q^{-1}x^2, q^4, -1) - \xi(x, q, z) \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\xi(x, q, z) := \frac{J_2^3}{j(xz, q)j(qx^2, q^2)\bar{J}_{0,4}} \left[ \frac{j(qx^2z, q^2)j(-z^2, q^4)}{j(z, q^2)} - xz \frac{j(q^2x^2z, q^2)j(-q^2x^2, q^4)}{j(qz, q^2)} \right].$$

Finally, two important transformation properties of  $f_{a,b,c}(x, y, q)$  are given in the following (see Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 in [22]).

**Proposition 2.3.** For  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a,b,c}(x, y, q) &= f_{a,b,c}(-x^2q^a, -y^2q^c, q^4) - x f_{a,b,c}(-x^2q^{3a}, -y^2q^{c+2b}, q^4) \\ &\quad - y f_{a,b,c}(-x^2q^{a+2b}, -y^2q^{3c}, q^4) + xyq^b f_{a,b,c}(-x^2q^{3a+2b}, -y^2q^{3c+2b}, q^4) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$f_{a,b,c}(x, y, q) = -\frac{q^{a+b+c}}{xy} f_{a,b,c}(q^{2a+b}/x, q^{2c+b}/y, q). \quad (2.6)$$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We begin by establishing our key Bailey pair.

**Proposition 3.1.** The sequences  $\alpha_{n_k}$  and  $\beta_{n_k}$  form a Bailey pair relative to  $q$ , where

$$\alpha_{n_k} = \frac{q^{((2^{k-1}+1)n_k^2 + (2^{k-1}-1)n_k)/2} (1 - q^{2n_k+1})}{(1 - q)} \sum_{|j| \leq n_k} (-1)^j q^{-2^{k-2}j^2}$$

and

$$\beta_{n_k} = \frac{1}{(-q)_{n_k}} \sum_{n_k \geq n_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 0} B_k(n_k, n_{k-1}, \dots, n_1; q).$$

*Proof.* Consider the Bailey pair relative to  $q$ ,

$$\alpha_n = \frac{q^{n^2} (1 - q^{2n+1})}{(1 - q)} \sum_{|j| \leq n} (-1)^j q^{-j^2}$$

and

$$\beta_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{(q^2; q^2)_n}.$$

This may be read off from the case  $(a, b, c) \rightarrow (q, -1, 0)$  of Theorem 2.2 of [4]. Iterating using (1.10) and (1.11) gives two sequences,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_n &= \frac{q^{n^2}(1-q^{2n+1})}{(1-q)} \sum_{|j| \leq n} (-1)^j q^{-j^2}, \\
\alpha'_n &= \frac{q^{2n^2+n}(1-q^{2n+1})}{(1-q)} \sum_{|j| \leq n} (-1)^j q^{-2j^2}, \\
\alpha''_n &= \frac{q^{4n^2+3n}(1-q^{2n+1})}{(1-q)} \sum_{|j| \leq n} (-1)^j q^{-4j^2}, \\
\alpha'''_n &= \frac{q^{8n^2+7n}(1-q^{2n+1})}{(1-q)} \sum_{|j| \leq n} (-1)^j q^{-8j^2}, \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_n &= \frac{(-1)^n}{(q^2; q^2)_n}, \\
\beta'_n &= \sum_{n \geq n_1 \geq 0} \frac{(-q)_{2n_1} (q)^{n_1} (-1)^{n_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_{n-n_1} (q^4; q^4)_{n_1}}, \\
\beta''_n &= \sum_{n \geq n_2 \geq n_1 \geq 0} \frac{(-q)_{2n_2} (-q^2; q^2)_{2n_1} q^{n_2+2n_1} (-1)^{n_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_{n-n_2} (q^4; q^4)_{n_2-n_1} (q^8; q^8)_{n_1}}, \\
\beta'''_n &= \sum_{n \geq n_3 \geq n_2 \geq n_1 \geq 0} \frac{(-q)_{2n_3} (-q^2; q^2)_{2n_2} (-q^4; q^4)_{2n_1} q^{n_3+2n_2+4n_1} (-1)^{n_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_{n-n_3} (q^4; q^4)_{n_3-n_2} (q^8; q^8)_{n_2-n_1} (q^{16}; q^{16})_{n_1}}, \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

The general terms are

$$\alpha_n^{(k)} = \frac{q^{2^k n^2 + (2^k - 1)n} (1 - q^{2n+1})}{(1 - q)} \sum_{|j| \leq n} (-1)^j q^{-2^k j^2}$$

and

$$\beta_n^{(k)} = \sum_{n \geq n_k \geq n_{k-1} \cdots \geq n_1 \geq 0} \frac{(-q)_{2n_k} (-q^2; q^2)_{2n_{k-1}} \cdots (-q^{2^{k-1}}; q^{2^{k-1}})_{n_1} q^{n_k + 2n_{k-1} + \cdots + 2^{k-1} n_1} (-1)^{n_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_{n-n_k} (q^4; q^4)_{n_k - n_{k-1}} \cdots (q^{2^k}; q^{2^k})_{n_2 - n_1} (q^{2^{k+1}}; q^{2^{k+1}})_{n_1}}.$$

We then apply (1.5) and (1.6) with  $b = -q$  and  $c \rightarrow \infty$ , shifting  $k \rightarrow k - 2$  and replacing  $n$  by  $n_k$  to obtain the result.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* For (1.12), apply Proposition 3.1 and let  $b = -q$  and  $c \rightarrow \infty$  in (1.3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_1^{(k)}(q) &= \frac{(-q)_\infty}{(q)_\infty} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ |j| \leq n}} (-1)^j q^{(2^{k-2}+1)n^2 + 2^{k-2}n - 2^{k-2}j^2} (1 - q^{2n+1}) \\
&= \frac{(-q)_\infty}{(q)_\infty} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{(2^{k-2}+1)n^2 + 2^{k-2}n} \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{-2^{k-2}j^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - q \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{(2^{k-2}+1)n^2 + (2^{k-2}+2)n} \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{-2^{k-2}j^2} \right). \tag{3.1}
\end{aligned}$$

After replacing  $n$  with  $-n-1$  in the second sum of (3.1), we let  $n = (r+s)/2$  and  $j = (r-s)/2$  to find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_1^{(k)}(q) &= \frac{(-q)_\infty}{(q)_\infty} \left( \left( \sum_{\substack{r,s \geq 0 \\ r \equiv s \pmod{2}}} - \sum_{\substack{r,s < 0 \\ r \equiv s \pmod{2}}} \right) (-1)^{\frac{r-s}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}r^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2^{k-1}+1)rs + 2^{k-3}r + \frac{1}{4}s^2 + 2^{k-3}s} \right) \\
&= \frac{(-q)_\infty}{(q)_\infty} \left( f_{1,2^{k-1}+1,1}(q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + q^{2^{k-1}+1} f_{1,2^{k-1}+1,1}(q^{3(2^{k-2}+1)}, q^{3(2^{k-2}+1)}, q^2) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we let  $r \rightarrow 2r$  and  $s \rightarrow 2s$ , then let  $r \rightarrow 2r+1$  and  $s \rightarrow 2s+1$  and invoke (1.16). By Theorem 2.1, (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,2^{k-1}+1,1}(q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q^2) &= 2(-1)^{2^{k-3}} q^{-2^{2k-6}} j(q, q^2) m(-q^{2^k(2^{k-3}+1)}, q^{2^{k+1}(2^{k-2}+1)}, -1) \\
&\quad + \theta_{1,2^{k-1}}(q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q^2) \tag{3.2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,2^{k-1}+1,1}(q^{3(2^{k-2}+1)}, q^{3(2^{k-2}+1)}, q^2) &= -2(-1)^{2^{k-3}} q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-3}(-3 \cdot 2^{k-3}-2)-1} j(q, q^2) m(-q^{-2^{2k-3}}, q^{2^{k+1}(2^{k-2}+1)}, -1) \\
&\quad + \theta_{1,2^{k-1}}(q^{3(2^{k-2}+1)}, q^{3(2^{k-2}+1)}, q^2). \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.2) and (3.3) and applying (2.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_1^{(k)}(q) &= 2(-1)^{2^{k-3}} q^{-2^{2k-6}} [m(q^{2^{k-2}}, q^{2^{k-1}(2^{k-2}+1)}, z) + \xi(q^{2^{k-2}}, q^{2^{k-1}(2^{k-2}+1)}, z)] \\
&\quad + \frac{\theta_{1,2^{k-1}}(q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q^2)}{j(q, q^2)} \\
&\quad + q^{2^{k-1}+1} \frac{\theta_{1,2^{k-1}}(q^{3(2^{k-2}+1)}, q^{3(2^{k-2}+1)}, q^2)}{j(q, q^2)}. \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, for (1.13), apply Proposition 3.1 and let  $b, c \rightarrow \infty$  in (1.3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_2^{(k)}(q) &= \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ |j| \leq n}} (-1)^j q^{((2^{k-1}+3)n^2 + (2^{k-1}+1)n)/2 - 2^{k-2}j^2} (1 - q^{2n+1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{\frac{2^{k-1}+3}{2}n^2 + \frac{2^{k-1}+1}{2}n} \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{-2^{k-2}j^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - q \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{\frac{2^{k-1}+3}{2}n^2 + \frac{2^{k-1}+5}{2}n} \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{-2^{k-2}j^2} \right). \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

We again replace  $n$  with  $-n - 1$  in the second sum of (3.5), then let  $n = (r + s)/2$  and  $j = (r - s)/2$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_2^{(k)}(q) &= \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \left( \left( \sum_{\substack{r,s \geq 0 \\ r \equiv s \pmod{2}}} - \sum_{\substack{r,s < 0 \\ r \equiv s \pmod{2}}} \right) (-1)^{\frac{r-s}{2}} q^{\frac{3}{8}r^2 + \frac{2^k+3}{4}rs + \frac{2^{k-1}+1}{4}r + \frac{3}{8}s^2 + \frac{2^{k-1}+1}{4}s} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \left( f_{3,2^k+3,3}(q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q) + q^{2^{k-1}+2} f_{3,2^k+3,3}(q^{3(2^{k-2}+2)-1}, q^{3(2^{k-2}+2)-1}, q) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for  $k$  odd, apply Theorem 2.1 twice and simplify using (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{3,2^k+3,3}(q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q) &= -2q^{-\frac{2^{k-3}(2^{k-2}+1)}{3}} j(q, q^3) m(-q^{2^{k-1}(5 \cdot 2^{k-1}+17)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k+1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, -1) \\
&\quad + 2q^{-35 \cdot 2^{k-3} - 27 \cdot 2^{2k-5}} j(q, q^3) m(-q^{2^{k-1}(-3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-7)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k+1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, -1) \\
&\quad + \theta_{3,2^k}(q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^{2^{k-1}+2} f_{3,2^k+3,3}(q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}+5}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}+5}, q) \\
&= -2q^{-3 \cdot 2^{k-3}(2^{k-2}+1)} j(q, q^3) m(-q^{2^{k-1}(3 \cdot 2^{k-1}+11)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k+1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, -1) \\
&\quad + 2q^{\frac{-61 \cdot 2^{k-3} - 49 \cdot 2^{2k-5}}{3}} j(q, q^3) m(-q^{-2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+1)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k+1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, -1) \\
&\quad + q^{2^{k-1}+2} \theta_{3,2^k}(q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}+5}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}+5}, q).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Combining the first  $m$  in (3.6) with the second  $m$  in (3.7) and applying (2.4) yields

$$-2q^{-\frac{2^{k-3}(2^{k-2}+1)}{3}} \left[ m(q^{2^{k+1}(2^{k-3}+1)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, z) + \xi(q^{2^{k+1}(2^{k-3}+1)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, z) \right]$$

while the first  $m$  in (3.7) with the second  $m$  in (3.6) and (2.4) gives

$$-2q^{-3 \cdot 2^{k-3}(2^{k-2}+1)} \left[ m(q^{2^{k-1}}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, z) + \xi(q^{2^{k-1}}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, z) \right].$$

In total, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_2^{(k)}(q) &= -2q^{\frac{-2^{k-3}(2^{k-2}+1)}{3}} \left[ m(q^{2^{k+1}(2^{k-3}+1)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, z) + \xi(q^{2^{k+1}(2^{k-3}+1)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, z) \right] \\
&\quad - 2q^{-3 \cdot 2^{k-3}(2^{k-2}+1)} \left[ m(q^{2^{k-1}}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, z) + \xi(q^{2^{k-1}}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, z) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\theta_{3,2^k}(q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q)}{j(q, q^3)} + \frac{q^{2^{k-1}+2} \theta_{3,2^k}(q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}+5}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}+5}, q)}{j(q, q^3)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

One can similarly show that for  $k$  even, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{3,2^k+3,3}(q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q) &= -2q^{\frac{-29 \cdot 2^{k-3} - 25 \cdot 2^{2k-5}}{3}} m(-q^{2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+5)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k+1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, -1) \\
&\quad + 2q^{-35 \cdot 2^{k-3} - 27 \cdot 2^{2k-5}} m(-q^{-2^{k-1}(3 \cdot 2^{k-1}+7)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k+1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, -1) \\
&\quad + \theta_{3,2^k}(q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^{2^{k-1}+2} f_{3,2^k+3,3}(q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}+5}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}+5}, q) \\
&= -2q^{-3 \cdot 2^{k-3}(2^{k-2}+1)} m(-q^{2^{k-1}(3 \cdot 2^{k-1}+11)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k+1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, -1) \\
&\quad + 2q^{\frac{-161 \cdot 2^{k-3} - 121 \cdot 2^{2k-5}}{3}} m(-q^{-2^{k-1}(5 \cdot 2^{k-1}+13)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k+1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, -1) \\
&\quad + q^{2^{k-1}+2} \theta_{3,2^k}(q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}+5}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}+5}, q).
\end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in the odd case we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_2^{(k)}(q) &= -2q^{\frac{-29 \cdot 2^{k-3} - 25 \cdot 2^{2k-5}}{3}} \left[ m(q^{-2^k(2^{k-2}+1)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, z) + \xi(q^{-2^k(2^{k-2}+1)}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, z) \right] \\
&\quad - 2q^{-3 \cdot 2^{k-3}(2^{k-2}+1)} \left[ m(q^{2^{k-1}}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, z) + \xi(q^{2^{k-1}}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+3)}, z) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\theta_{3,2^k}(q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q^{2^{k-2}+2}, q)}{j(q, q^3)} + \frac{q^{2^{k-1}+2} \theta_{3,2^k}(q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}+5}, q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}+5}, q)}{j(q, q^3)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Now, for (1.14), apply Proposition 3.1 and let  $q = q^2$ ,  $c \rightarrow \infty$  and  $b = q$  in (1.3) to get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_3^{(k)}(q) &= \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ |j| \leq n}} (-1)^{n+j} q^{(2^{k-1}+2)n^2 + (2^{k-1}+1)n - 2^{k-1}j^2} (1 + q^{2n+1}) \\
&= \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{(2^{k-1}+2)n^2 + (2^{k-1}+1)n} \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{-2^{k-1}j^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + q \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{(2^{k-1}+2)n^2 + (2^{k-1}+3)n} \sum_{j=-n}^n (-1)^j q^{-2^{k-1}j^2} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Again we replace  $n$  with  $-n-1$  in the second sum of (3.10), let  $n = (r+s)/2$  and  $j = (r-s)/2$ , and apply (2.5) in the penultimate step to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_3^{(k)}(q) &= \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \left( \left( \sum_{\substack{r, s \geq 0 \\ r \equiv s \pmod{2}}} - \sum_{\substack{r, s < 0 \\ r \equiv s \pmod{2}}} \right) (-1)^{r-s} q^{\frac{1}{2}r^2 + (2^{k-1}+1)rs + \frac{2^{k-1}+1}{2}r + \frac{1}{2}s^2 + \frac{2^{k-1}+1}{2}s} \right) \\
&= \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \left( f_{1, 2^{k-1}+1, 1}(-q^{2^{k-1}+3}, -q^{2^{k-1}+3}, q^4) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - q^{2^k+3} f_{1, 2^{k-1}+1, 1}(-q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}+7}, -q^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}+7}, q^4) \right) \\
&= \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} f_{1, 2^{k-1}+1, 1}(q^{2^{k-2}+1}, -q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1, (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_{1, 2^{k-1}+1, 1}(q^{2^{k-2}+1}, -q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q) \\
&= q^{-2^{k-3}(2^{k-2}+1)} j(-1, q) m(q^{2^{k-2}}, q^{2^{2k-2}+2^k}, -1) + \theta_{1, 2^{k-1}}(q^{2^{k-2}+1}, -q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q)
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\mathcal{R}_3^{(k)}(q) = 2q^{-2^{k-3}(2^{k-2}+1)} m(q^{2^{k-2}}, q^{2^{2k-2}+2^k}, -1) + \frac{2\theta_{1, 2^{k-1}}(q^{2^{k-2}+1}, -q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q)}{j(-1, q)}. \quad (3.11)$$

Finally, for (1.15), applying Proposition 3.1 and letting  $b = \sqrt{q}$  and  $c = -\sqrt{q}$  in (1.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_4^{(k)}(q) &= \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ |j| \leq n}} (-1)^{n+j} q^{((2^{k-1}+1)n^2 + (2^{k-1}+1)n)/2 - 2^{k-2}j^2} \\
&\quad \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{2(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \left( \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ |j| \leq n}} (-1)^{n+j} q^{((2^{k-1}+1)n^2 + (2^{k-1}+1)n)/2 - 2^{k-2}j^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ |j| \leq n}} (-1)^{n+j} q^{((2^{k-1}+1)n^2 + (2^{k-1}+1)n)/2 - 2^{k-2}j^2} \right). \quad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Once more replace  $n$  with  $-n-1$  in the second sum of (3.12), let  $n = (r+s)/2$  and  $j = (r-s)/2$  and apply (2.6) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_4^{(k)}(q) &= \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{2(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \left( \left( \sum_{\substack{r, s \geq 0 \\ r \equiv s \pmod{2}}} - \sum_{\substack{r, s < 0 \\ r \equiv s \pmod{2}}} \right) (-1)^{r-s} q^{\frac{1}{8}r^2 + \frac{2k+1}{4}rs + \frac{2k-1+1}{4}r + \frac{1}{8}s^2 + \frac{2k-1+1}{4}s} \right) \\
&= \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{2(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \left( f_{1,2k+1,1}(-q^{2^{k-2}+1}, -q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - q^{2^{k-1}+1} f_{1,2k+1,1}(-q^{3(2^{k-2}+1)-1}, -q^{3(2^{k-2}+1)-1}, q) \right) \\
&= \frac{(q; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} f_{1,2k+1,1}(-q^{2^{k-2}+1}, -q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1, (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,2k+1,1}(-q^{2^{k-2}+1}, -q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q) &= 2q^{-2^{k-3}(2^{k-2}+1)} j(-1, q) m(-q^{2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+1)}, q^{2^{k+1}(2^{k-1}+1)}, -1) \\
&\quad + \theta_{1,2^k}(-q^{2^{k-2}+1}, -q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q)
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\mathcal{R}_4^{(k)}(q) = 4q^{-2^{k-3}(2^{k-2}+1)} m(-q^{2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+1)}, q^{2^{k+1}(2^{k-1}+1)}, -1) + \frac{2\theta_{1,2^k}(-q^{2^{k-2}+1}, -q^{2^{k-2}+1}, q)}{j(-1, q)}. \quad (3.13)$$

□

#### 4. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.3

To prove Corollary 1.3 we will compare the Appell-Lerch sums  $m(x, q, z)$  appearing in (3.4), (3.8), and (3.13) to the Appell-Lerch sums corresponding to the classical  $q$ -hypergeometric mock theta functions, as recorded in [22].

*Proof of Corollary 1.3.* We begin with (1.17). Taking  $k = 3$  and  $z = q^3$  in (3.4), we find that

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{(3)}(-q) = 2q^{-1} [m(q^2, q^{12}, -q^3) + \xi(q^2, q^{12}, -q^3)] + \frac{\theta_{1,4}(-q^3, -q^3, q^2)}{j(-q, q^2)} - q^5 \frac{\theta_{1,4}(-q^9, -q^9, q^2)}{j(-q, q^2)}.$$

On the other hand, equation (5.9) of [22] says that

$$\nu(q) = 2q^{-1} m(q^2, q^{12}, -q^3) + \frac{J_1 J_{3,12}}{J_2}.$$

Thus the claim is equivalent to the identity

$$2q^{-1} \xi(q^2, q^{12}, -q^3) + \frac{\theta_{1,4}(-q^3, -q^3, q^2)}{j(-q, q^2)} - \frac{q^5 \theta_{1,4}(-q^9, -q^9, q^2)}{j(-q, q^2)} = \frac{J_1 J_{3,12}}{J_2}.$$

This is a routine identity involving modular forms and functions and hence may be verified with a finite computation. We carried this out using F.G. Garvan's computer package (see <http://www.math.ufl.edu/~fgarvan/qmaple/theta-supplement/>).

Next, for (1.18) we take  $k = 4$  and  $z = q^8$  in (3.4) to obtain

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{(4)}(q) = 2q^{-4} [m(q^4, q^{40}, q^8) + \xi(q^4, q^{40}, q^8)] + \frac{\theta_{1,8}(q^5, q^5, q^2)}{j(q, q^2)} + q^9 \frac{\theta_{1,8}(q^{15}, q^{15}, q^2)}{j(q, q^2)}.$$

On the other hand, equation (5.43) in [22] with  $q = q^4$  reads

$$\phi(q^4) = -2q^{-4}m(q^4, q^{40}, q^8) + \left. \frac{J_5 J_{10} J_{4,10}}{J_{2,5} J_{2,10}} \right|_{q=q^4}.$$

Comparing these two equations establishes (1.18) (and also provides an expression for  $M_1(q)$ ).

Equations (1.19) and (1.20) are quite similar, so we just mention that (1.19) follows from taking  $k = 3$  and  $z = q^{12}$  in (3.8) and comparing with equation (5.33) in [22], while (1.20) follows upon comparing (3.13) with the case  $q \rightarrow q^{2^{k-1}(2^{k-1}+1)}$  of equation (5.3) in [22].  $\square$

## 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have described one way to use the Bailey machinery to produce families of  $q$ -hypergeometric multisums which are mock theta functions. It would be interesting to find others. It would also be interesting to establish simpler expressions for the modular forms  $M_1(q)$ ,  $M_2(q)$ , and  $M_3^{(k)}(q)$  occurring in Corollary 1.3. Finally, it is mentioned in Section 8 of [29] that some preliminary work has been done toward understanding the combinatorial significance of certain modular  $q$ -hypergeometric multisums constructed using change of base lemmas for Bailey pairs. These modular multisums resemble the  $\mathcal{R}_i^{(k)}(q)$  and it would be nice to see this work carried out.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Alfes, K. Bringmann, and J. Lovejoy, Automorphic properties of generating functions for generalized odd rank moments and odd Durfee symbols, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **151** (2011), 385–406.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, Multiple series Rogers-Ramanujan type identities, *Pacific J. Math.* **114** (1984), 267–283.
- [3] G.E. Andrews,  $q$ -Series: Their Development and Application in Analysis, Number Theory, Combinatorics, Physics, and Computer Algebra, volume 66 of Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [4] G.E. Andrews and D. Hickerson, Ramanujan’s “lost” notebook VII: The sixth order mock theta functions, *Adv. Math.* **89** (1991), 60–105.
- [5] C. Armond and O. Dasbach, Rogers-Ramanujan type identities and the head and tail of the colored Jones polynomial, preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.3948>.
- [6] A. Berkovich and S.O. Warnaar, Positivity-preserving transformations for  $q$ -binomial coefficients, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), 2291–2351.
- [7] B. Berndt and S.H. Chan, Sixth order mock theta functions, *Adv. Math.* **216** (2007), no. 2, 771–786.
- [8] D.M. Bressoud, M. Ismail, and D. Stanton, Change of base in Bailey pairs, *Ramanujan J.* **4** (2000), 435–453.
- [9] K. Bringmann and J. Lovejoy, Dyson’s rank, overpartitions, and weak Maass forms, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2007), rnm063.
- [10] K. Bringmann and K. Ono, Dyson’s ranks and Maass forms, *Ann. of Math.* **171** (2010), 419–449.
- [11] K. Bringmann, K. Ono and R. Rhoades, Eulerian series as modular forms, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **21** (2008), 1085–1104.
- [12] Y.-S. Choi, Tenth order mock theta functions in Ramanujan’s lost notebook, *Invent. Math.* **136** (1999), 497–569.
- [13] Y.-S. Choi, Tenth order mock theta functions in Ramanujan’s lost notebook. II, *Adv. Math.* **156** (2000), 180–285.

- [14] Y.-S. Choi, Tenth order mock theta functions in Ramanujan's lost notebook. IV, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354** (2002), 705–733.
- [15] Y.-S. Choi, Identities for Ramanujan's sixth order mock theta functions, *Quart. J. Math.* **53** (2002), 147–159.
- [16] Y.-S. Choi, Tenth order mock theta functions in Ramanujan's lost notebook. III, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **94** (2007), 26–52.
- [17] J. Fulman, The Rogers-Ramanujan identities, the finite general linear groups, and the Hall-Littlewood polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 17–25.
- [18] S. Garoufalidis and T. Lê, Nahm sums, stability and the colored Jones polynomial, preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.3905>
- [19] F.G. Garvan, Generalizations of Dyson's rank and non-Rogers-Ramanujan partitions, *Manuscripta Math.* **84** (1994), 343–359.
- [20] B. Gordon and R.J. McIntosh, Some eighth order mock theta functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **62** (2000), 321–335.
- [21] B. Gordon and R.J. McIntosh, A survey of classical mock theta functions, in: *Partitions,  $q$ -series, and Modular Forms, Developments in Mathematics 2012*, vol. 23, 95–144
- [22] D. Hickerson and E. Mortenson, Hecke-type double sums, Appell-Lerch sums, and mock theta functions (I), preprint.
- [23] S.-Y. Kang, Mock Jacobi forms in basic hypergeometric series, *Compos. Math.* **145** (2009), 553–565.
- [24] R.J. McIntosh, Second order mock theta functions, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **50** (2007), 284–290.
- [25] E. Mortenson, On three third order mock theta functions and Hecke-type double sums, preprint.
- [26] K. Ono, Unearthing the visions of a master: harmonic Maass forms and number theory, *Proceedings of the 2008 Harvard-MIT Current Developments in Mathematics Conference*, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2009, 347–454.
- [27] L.J. Slater, A new proof of Rogers's transformations of infinite series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **53** (1951) 460–475.
- [28] L.J. Slater, Further identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **54** (1952) 147–167.
- [29] D. Stanton, The Bailey-Rogers-Ramanujan group, in:  *$q$ -Series with Applications to Combinatorics, Number Theory, and Physics*, Contemporary Mathematics **291** (2001), 55–70.
- [30] G.N. Watson, The final problem: An account of the mock theta functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* **11** (1936), 55–80.
- [31] D. Zagier, Ramanujan's mock theta functions and their applications (after Zwegers and Ono-Bringmann), *Astérisque* **326** (2009), 143–164.
- [32] S. Zwegers, Mock Theta Functions, PhD Thesis, Universiteit Utrecht (2002).
- [33] S. Zwegers, Multivariable Appell functions, preprint.
- [34] S. Zwegers, Mock theta functions I. The Appell functions and the Mordell integral, in preparation.

CNRS, LIAFA, UNIVERSITÉ DENIS DIDEROT - PARIS 7, CASE 7014, 75205 PARIS CEDEX 13, FRANCE

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE DUBLIN, BELFIELD, DUBLIN 4, IRELAND

*E-mail address:* `lovejoy@liafa.jussieu.fr`

*E-mail address:* `robert.osburn@ucd.ie`