

# Examen: MPRI Algorithmique de graphes

Michel Habib

23 novembre 2010

NB : \* indicates hard questions.

## 1 Chordal graphs

In this problem we consider a connected chordal graph  $G$  (with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges).

1. Show that every chordal graph can be represented as the intersection graph of a family of subtrees in a host tree with maximum degree 3.
2. Let  $S$  be a minimal separator in  $G$ , show that it always exist two different maximal cliques  $C, C'$  of  $G$  such that :  
 $C \cap C' = S$  and  $\forall a \in C - C', \forall b \in C' - C, S$  is a minimal separator for  $a$  and  $b$ .
3. \* Show that every minimal separator  $S$  belongs to all maximal clique tree of  $G$  (i.e. every maximal clique tree  $T$  contains an edge labelled with  $S$ ).
4. Show that each maximal clique tree uses exactly the same collection of minimal separators, including repetitions (i.e. if a separator  $S$  labels  $\alpha$  edges of some maximal clique tree, then every maximal clique tree contains  $\alpha$  edges labelled with  $S$ ).  
Give examples of families of graphs for which the number of repetitions of a given minimal separator is unbounded in any maximal clique tree.
5. If we consider the edges of the clique tree labelled with the size of the minimal separators, show that : for every maximal clique tree  $T$   
 $weight(T) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} |C_i| - n$ , where  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  are the maximal cliques of  $G$ .
6. Prove that a graph  $G$  is a forest iff every pairwise intersecting family of paths in  $G$  has a common vertex (i.e. family of paths satisfy Helly's property).

### 1.1 Distance properties on chordal graphs

1. A convex structure consists of a set  $X$  together with a collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of subsets of  $X$  (the convex sets) such that : the empty set and  $X$  are convex and the intersection of convex sets is convex.

For a graph  $G = (V, E)$  the usual convexity is defined as follows :

A subset  $S \subseteq V$  is convex if for every two vertices  $x, y \in S$ , all vertices on shortest paths between  $x$  and  $y$  are also contained in  $S$ .

Show that this correctly defines a convexity.

2. Show that we can obtain a new notion of convexity called chordless-convexity if we replace in the above definition "shortest path" by "chordless path". Are these two definitions of convexity equivalent?
3. Show that  $G = (V, E)$  is chordal iff  $\forall v \in V N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$  is chordless convex.
4. \* An extreme point of a convex set  $S$  is a point  $x \in S$  such that  $S - x$  is still convex. The convex hull of a given subset  $A \subseteq X$  is the smallest convex set containing  $A$ . Show that for chordal graphs chordless convexity defines a convex geometry (i.e. every convex set is the convex hull of its extreme points).
5. For a graph  $G$ , connected but not necessarily chordal, let  $C(G)$  be the induced subgraph of  $G$  made up with its centers. Shows that this graph is not always connected.
6. \* For a chordal graph  $G$  show that :  $C(G)$  is connected and  $diam(C(G)) \leq 3$
7. \*\* Propose an efficient algorithm to compute a center (resp. all the centers) of a chordal graph.

## 2 Algorithms

1. Propose a linear time algorithm to recognize co-chordal graphs (i.e. complement of chordal graphs).

### 2. Maximal cardinality Search (MCS)

**Data:** a graph  $G = (V, E)$  and a start vertex  $s$

**Result:** an ordering  $\sigma$  of  $V$

Assign the label 0 to all vertices

$label(s) \leftarrow 1$

**for**  $i \leftarrow n \ \mathbf{d}\ \mathbf{1}$  **do**

Pick an unnumbered vertex  $v$  **with largest label**

$\sigma(i) \leftarrow v$

**foreach** unnumbered vertex  $w$  adjacent to  $v$  **do**

$label(w) \leftarrow label(w) + 1$

**end**

**end**

Propose a linear time implementation of MCS algorithm.

3. Is-it possible to modify (by adding a test ) MCS Algorithm in order to check if the graph is chordal (without testing that  $\sigma$  provides a simplicial elimination ordering)?

## 3 Treewidth, branchwidth

We have seen during the course when proving  $treewidth(G) \leq 3/2branchwidth(G)$  : that a decomposition tree for treewidth can be transformed in a branchwidth like tree.

Does there exist a way to express treewidth in terms of a cost function on a ternary tree? Detail the cost function if any.